G. R. S. 1 & 5]
Previous reference 357-16
1916
(Manipur)

File No 357-16
Serial Nos 1-8

Later references
Part 5, 23-15
212 91 (1 & 2)

GENERAL AND POLITICAL SECRETARIAT

Serial No 1-8

Part 3 to Oct 20

SUBJECT

The conduct of one in the Durga at Keso
Nagar village in the Henukur taluk.

6.10.20

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Henukur &

I enclose the appeal of Peer Gagab the
Khatri and his brother Mr. Boor the Khan
& the right to participate in the distri
-bution of khazi during the year

1410 of 16-CC

R. S. 11] 1916-17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 357-16

FILE HEADING.

The conduct of "Urus" in the Durga at Kesarmadee village in the Dumkeen Taluk.

From

Peer Sayab Sha Khadri & his brother Mohamed Bawa Sha Khadri of Kesarmadee

No. Petn Dated 15.12.16 Received

Diary No. 972	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

A State that the village of Kesarmadee was granted to their ancestor by the male side, one Syed Modeen Bawa Sha Kadri as a Sarvamanya & that it has been customary for a long time to celebrate "Urus" in the Darga in the month of Dec^r every year & that the festival is not being celebrated for the last few years owing to the contention of their opponent-Syed Hameen Peer Sha Khadri to participate in the "Urus", though he has no right ~~NOTES AND ORDERS.~~ to claim the privilege & they pray for enquiry & equitable orders.

Notes & orders.

1) The petnrs represent that their opponent has no relationship & there, ... and ...

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—20,000—8-8-14

WD 1283—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2

2

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mug File No. 357 of 1916

Serial No.	
	and as such cannot participate in the festival, viz., by offering flowers, sandal-sticks etc in competing with the petitioners. His opponent is stated to have obtained an order from the DC, Tuticorin entitling him to participate in the "Urus". This order, the petitioners say was counter to the traditional usage & feelings of the devotees of the Dargah. The petitioners pray for early orders as the "Urus" is to be celebrated very soon.
	2. The R Secy has ordered on the petition thus, "Forward to Dy Coll for report with connected records".
	Sd) S. M. 15.12.16
	Draft- on forwarding the above for report with records is drawn with a DO endorsement to petitioners' Ltr for appl
	SS 18.12.16 ann 19/12/16
	I/ A V B Coll 19.12.16
2	No 1557 dt 23.12.16, to DC of Tuticorin for the above for early report with records.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1410

S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Judl File No. 357 of 1916.

Serial No 3

From, the Dy Commr, Tumkur Dist
Letter no 133 Dy 15.2.1916
Submits report with records called for in Sl 2

The Dy Commrs report explains the facts of the case fully.

Concurring in the decision of the First class magistrate, Tumkur Dist, that the respdt - Syed Azam Sahib Peeran - has a right to carry Sandal during the Urus of Kesarmadu Darga, the Dy. Commr has ordered that the respdt is also entitled to a share in the distribution of wheat along with other condiments to which the apltrs objects.

Against this order, Peer Gayab Sha Khadri & his brother have appealed to Govt.

The main contention of the apltrs is that they come from the male line, that the right contended has descended in their family while the respdt belongs neither to the male line nor the female line, and has no right to the Urus

Submits. for orders } May the case be posted for hearing?

10/3/16 10/3/16

25 B

NOTE—Contn sheet

R. S.-III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 357 of 1916.

Serial No	
A	appellant - Controversy both the rights and it is desirable if a satisfactory enquiry has been held into the question. I — However the case may be posted for hearing
	(1st member) 14.3.19

What is the provision of law under which the appeal has been preferred to revise?

Does the mere fact that a reply has been received to the memorandum make the latter subject to the control of the High Court?

If the enquiry is incomplete, can it not be open to revise the proceedings which might be called for a fresh enquiry?

So here is a case in which the enquiry is incomplete is simply to waste time.

If has there been any reminder since 1931, prior after March 1917? If not, why should not take any further action in the matter by the orders passed [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

MW2 File No. 357 of 1916.

Serial No.

If the case may be posted for hearing

(Secy.) 28. 11/3/19

There are two points for decision connected with each other on neither of which there has been a decision on merits. These are that the respt. who is descended on the female line is not entitled to bring Sandal at the time of the Urus and that in any case he is not entitled to participate along with the male line descendants in the wheel and joyjoy distributed at the time after Urus. On the former issue there is only a magistrate's order under S 147 Cr. Pro. code that the respt. can bring Sandal until the right is decided by a Court of Competent jurisdiction. This was in 1901 and this point does not appear to have been set at rest. In 1916 the respt. appears to have moved the SJ Court that he must participate in the distribution of wheel and the SJ Court order is only to the effect that the right to carry Sandal and to participate in the wheel go together and that as the Respt. is entitled to carry Sandal, he is entitled to a share after the wheel. He

C

D

B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 191

Serial No.

F

By Govt. order no 3107-C - Mis. F. 135-1912 dated 8th Dec. 1916 the institution and its endowments were taken under Govt. management and the Bd. Comr. was requested to arrange for the conduct of the services etc. Thus so far as this institution is concerned there is no difference between it and any other Mysore institution under Govt. management. The details of services and the rights to participate in them and enjoy the honours and emoluments are subject to the orders of local Mysore officers. Right of appeal to Govt. against the orders of the Bd. Comr. follows ~~the~~ the natural course and under S 21 Mys. Reg. C. I. On further consideration it seemed appear that there is a preliminary issue of limitation which if decided against the appellant, will make it unnecessary to order any elaborate enquiry

C

The magistrate's order referred the appellant to establish his exclusive claim to bring Sandal before a court of competent jurisdiction. This was in 1907. Full 5 years before the institution was taken under Govt. management. The appellant has apparently failed to take any steps

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Judg File No. [illegible] of 191[illegible]

Serial No.

to establish his claim and the adverse right of the Respts is not affected prejudicially by Govt taking up the management. It seems that it would be an advantage to ~~on what~~ hear the parties and see on what arguments they base their claims.

The petition of Nov 1917 is only a reminder petition for the speedy disposal of the appeal. As an appeal duly stamped has been filed and action thereupon, the appellant seems to be entitled to have the final decision of Govt on the subject.

22/

1st December

lsa

20.3.19

A

887/21.3.19.

I move no Govt to hear parties - Draft, Letter to be sent May 19, 36½ Rs. 25-36

lsa

26/3/19

26/3/19

Submit with Dist. Records.

Appd.

15/4/19

15-4-19

Affd at the appeal

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 357 of 1916.

Serial No.

788
13/9/19

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rd. on SEP 15 1919
Reg. No. 1856

As this is an appeal against an order passed by me as D.J. Cuttack & Tumkur. Three cheque bogus orders & answer says [illegible] 2nd accused was. he merely acted by direction of A

Jcr
14/9/19

Dewan

the second accused is agreed discharged & his appeal

Ucly
16-9-19

1393
16.9.19

2nd accused

16.9.19

L.V. Issue notice returnable for Monday next by 11 NW ~~10~~ 3 P.M.

Ucly
14/9/19

Issued with DR notices.

13.10.19

Regd notices & their typed copies are subd for signature.

14/10/19

Allowed
15/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mug 357—16

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

R. 32

28-10-24

As it has been arranged to hold a meeting of the Education Committee on the 17th of next month, the hearing of the Muzrai case posted for that date may be postponed to .24.th Nov. and the change of date notified to the parties.

Asst Secy

To

The Joint Secretary,

Muzrai Department with

compliments.

Asst Secy Please issue notice to the parties as desired Let me know 28/10/24

28/10/24

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 357 of 1916.

Serial No.	
	Suit with DD. notices.
	11-11-16
4	From, Syed Ghulam Mohiuddin Khadri minor sar/o Resp? Ajamat Peer Shah Khadri thro. his guardian Syed Mohammad Badsha Khadri,
	Petition dy 5-11-16 but rec'd on 10-11-16.
	Prays that he may be admitted in place of his father (since deceased) as a party to the suit, and notices issued both to himself and his guardian.
	May a notice be issued to himself & his guardian as requested.
	11-11-16
	Order
	Allowed 11-11-16
	Notice may be issued to the guardian of the minor respondent.
	12-11-16
	12/11/16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Res armada Daya

1610

Secy File No. 357 of 1916

Serial No.

Dft. Notices for issue

12-11-20 RG 12/11/20

Issue 12-12

Asstt. Secy's order

Issue the order written out by the 2nd member.

RG

16/2/20

5. To by. Cazenove Lawrence Smith. No. 2886 dt 12.3.1920

Calls for certain further information in the matter.

The time-limit prescribed by the Members of Council for the submission of the Dy. Comrs. report is 3 months from 12.3.1920. The report is due to-day and has not been received.

Submits for orders.

12.3.20 RG

12/6/20

12-6-20

Issue a reminder, ahana

12/6/20

12.6.20

Why was there a delay of about a month in sending this no 5?

12/6/20

Footing ✓

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 357 of 1916

Serial No.	
	Submitted. 14.8.20
6	From, the Dy. Comr., Sunsari, Letter no 856 dt 6/8/20. Reports that he made the enquiry and recorded the evidence adduced by the contending parties, and that the case is posted for argument to the 14th inst. and promises to submit a full report at an early date. The further report promised may be awaited. Submitted. 29.8.20. 14.8.20
7	From, the Dy. Comr., Sunsari, Letter no. 856 dt 28-7-1920. Remarks his findings on each of the 3 issues raised by the members of Council in order no. 2886 dt 12.3.20. Submitted for orders. 31.7.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet

(13

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Hwg File No. 357 of 1916

Serial No.

Third Member 12/2/8/20

635
2.8.20.

may be posted for hearing and the parties notified

Mitra
10/8

Orders? 12/8

18 Sep. May be posted to Bench actually hearing cases if may be ascertained from the Personal Asst to the Third Member whether the date will suit 13/8/20

3 P.M. on the date suggested will suit the Member's convenience.
P. 1678

17/8/20 Issue 17/8/20

(Notice served on the parties on 28.8.20)

Order recalled by the Third Member in the file. May it be issued?
20/9/20

20.9.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet

S. III-2]

(14

General and Revenue Secretariat. Irrg. File No. 357 of 19/6.

Serial No.

8 To, by. Commr. Jammu &c.

No. 1250 dy. 4.10.1920.

Discussion Re appeal of Peer Fayaz Shah Khairi & his brother 2nd. Barr Shah Khadri the right to participate in the distribution of wheat during the years at Kesarmarg

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R

ONE UPEE

Diary No 972

Please put up with the file.
Submitted for orders.
3.5.16

No.

(1)
(2)

1. Please issue notice to respondents for 15.12.16.

2. Re Claim Enclosed with application,
15/12/16

ಕಲಿಸಿದ ಅಥವಾ ಗುರುತಿಸಿದ ಪಾಠಗಳನ್ನು ಅಭ್ಯಾಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದು.

೨. ಪ್ರತಿ ಮಗುವಿನ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸೂಕ್ತ ಮಾರ್ಗದರ್ಶನ ನೀಡುವುದು.

೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೪. ಪ್ರತಿ ಮಗುವಿನ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪೋಷಕರಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡುವುದು.

೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೧೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೧೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೧೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೧೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೧೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೧೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೧೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೧೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೧೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೧೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೨೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೨೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೨೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೨೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೨೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೨೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೨೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೨೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೨೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೨೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೩೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೩೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೩೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೩೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೩೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೩೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೩೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೩೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೩೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೩೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೪೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೪೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೪೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೪೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೪೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೪೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೪೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೪೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೪೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೪೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೫೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೫೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೫೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೫೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೫೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೫೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೫೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೫೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೫೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೫೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೬೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೬೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೬೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೬೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೬೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೬೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೬೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೬೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೬೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೬೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೭೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೭೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೭೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೭೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೭೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೭೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೭೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೭೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೭೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೭೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೮೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೮೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೮೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೮೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೮೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೮೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೮೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೮೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೮೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೮೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೯೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೯೧. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೯೨. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೯೩. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೯೪. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂವಿಧಾನದ ಮೌಲ್ಯಗಳಾದ ಸಮಾನತೆ, ಭ್ರಾತೃತ್ವ, ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ನ್ಯಾಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಿವು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

೯೫. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಕೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಮೂಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಕಲಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಉತ್ತೇಜಿಸುವುದು.

೯೬. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಹಪಾಠಿಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಹಕಾರ ಮನೋಭಾವನೆ ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೯೭. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛತೆ, ಶಿಸ್ತು, ಸಮಯಪಾಲನೆ ಮುಂತಾದ ಉತ್ತಮ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ರೂಢಿಸುವುದು.

೯೮. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೃಜನಶೀಲತೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಲ್ಪನಾಶಕ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಲು ಪ್ರೋತ್ಸಾಹಿಸುವುದು.

೯೯. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆತ್ಮವಿಶ್ವಾಸ ಮತ್ತು ಸ್ವಾವಲಂಬನೆಯನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸುವುದು.

೧೦೦. ಮಕ್ಕಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಸರ ಪ್ರಜ್ಞೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯನ್ನು ಮೂಡಿಸುವುದು.

15—12—1916

20/11

16/11/91

Please let me know whether the same has been received

Yours faithfully

Encl: as above

GEN. & RE. S...

2089

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No 1557 Ecn. 1. Dy 23/12/16
Cms 357-16-2

The accompanying Petition Registered

No 972 dated 15.12.16

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Peer Sayab Sha Khadri & his brother Mosha-med Ban Sha Khadri of Kesarnadu in the Dumkeen Dt in the matter of their sole & exclusive right to conduct the "Uroos" in the Darga at the place

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, with complements

to the Deputy Court of Tumkur Dist for early report with connected records

By Order,

15.12.16

For Secretary. Govt.

18/12/16

BANGALORE,

_____ 191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882 -GPB—1 000 10 11 15

No 1558 ದಿ 23.12.16

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ _____ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

_____ ಹೋಬಳಿ

_____ ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

_____

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ.

_____

_____

_____

_____

_____

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 15.12.16ರ

ವರ್ಕ್ಕಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು _____ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

_____

_____ ರವಕ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

19.12.16

for Secretary

am
18/12/16

18/12/16.

18-19.

58997

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, T u m k u r.

To

The Joint Secretary to Government

of H.H. The Maharaja of Mysore,

Cubbon Park Bangalore

B a n g a l o r e.

Dated 15th February 1919.

1410

Despatched

18 19

Received

Munzai

General No. Muzrai 133

357-16.

3. No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submitting report re the petition of Peer Sayab Sha Khadri and his brother Sarr Sha Khadri of Kesaramadu in the Tumkur Taluk in the matter of their exclusive right to conduct the Urus in the Darga of that place.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

[illegible], 10th February 1919.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

decision of a competent Court to the effect that counter-petitioner had not the right.

3. From the reports subsequently submitted by the Amildar of Tumkur Taluk, it is seen that the Urus was conducted year after year and that after the Inam village of Yesaramadu came under Government management in 1906-07 under the authority of Government Pro. No. 3109-10/M.F. 135-1892 dated 8th December 1906, petitioner Peer Gayab Sha Khadri was appointed Patel of that village and in that capacity he conducted the Urus for some years and the cost of the same has also been paid through him. In the meantime petitions objecting to the payment of the cost of the Urus to Peer Gayab Sha Khadri were raised and the counter-petitioner Syed Azamath Peeran, alias, Babajju also requested that he might be permitted to conduct the Urus and that the cost thereof might be paid to him. In this connection the then Deputy Commissioner passed an order on 26th December 1915 that as Syed Azamath Peeran, alias, Babajju had been declared entitled to carry sandal in the Criminal Miscellaneous Case above referred to, he was also entitled to a share in the distribution of wheat along with other condiments, to the issue of which Peer Gayab Sha Khadri had objected. The Deputy Commissioner also directed that at future Urus Babijju must be given wheat and other condiments in proportionate share with the other paldars, that the expenses of the Urus should be confined to Rs 75/- and that the Patel Peer Gayab Sha Khadri (the present petitioner) should continue in the management as theretofore.

4, Peer Gayab Sha Khadri applied for a review of this order on 7th March 1916 and no orders were passed thereupon.

5. The present petition to Government is practically an appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner mentioned above.

6. The Petition of Peer Gayab Sha Khadri is also returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Tumkur District.

D.N.

MCS

DIARY No 866.

RU

Before the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Munsarai Appeal No: 12 of 1918-19

1. Peer Ayub Sha Khadri.
2. Mahomed Sha Khadri } Appellants

v.

Ajumat Peer Shah Khadri (since deceased) } Respondent.
by his son and legal representative Syed Jows Mohideen Sha Khadri alias Badsha Jan, minor by guardian and ~~father~~ paternal uncle Syed Mohideen Badsha Khadri. } Petitioner

The above named minor Syed Jows Mohideen Sha Khadri alias Badsha Jan by his guardian and paternal uncle Syed Mohideen Badsha Khadri begs to state as follows:—

1. The above appeal was preferred by the appellants against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur declaring that the deceased respondent (the minor's father) was entitled to be

he (inam sheat and other
as a previlege attached to his
office of carrying Sandal at the
"Urs" and other celebrations.

2. The Respondent died about 3
months ago and the Petitioner
is his son and legal heir and as
such entitled to the office as
well as the emoluments.

3. The Petitioner therefore prays
that the above appeal may, after
giving notice to the Petitioner's friends
of the appellant, be heard and dis-
posed of

Syed Ghouse Mohiuddin Sa.
పీ.ఎస్.ఎస్.ఎస్

P.T.V. 246

(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12)

2379 dt 23 -- 3 - 1911

mm 651-B

పల్లకీలంగలు కిందర్జీమెంటు బదనరలో ఎలక్ట్రిసిటీ
గొట్టపు లైటులు పెట్టడానికి ప్రభుత్వ వారి-చేతి
లో గలదా

కొండపల్లి కోటలో ఉన్న ప్రభుత్వ కొండ కొత్తగా
మంత్రులు వచ్చినప్పుడు వసతిగా ఉండడానికి
కోసం అడిగి

దరఖాస్తులు ఈ నాంటి చిన్న మొండ్లు
నళ్లు కొరకు పెట్టుకొనియలు వచ్చిన
దరఖాస్తిన వాటన్నిటిలో చూసినట్లుగా మొత్త
లు ఎంతకు చేర్చడానికి ఎక్కడినుండి ప్రభుత్వము కా
రాంచి కాంచుకొండి చేత ఉద్యోగస్థులకున్న ధ్రువ
పత్రం కొరకు తిరిగినట్లుగా చూసి లేదు ఉన్నట్లయితే
నిన్న 2 సంవత్సరాలు అయ్యిలున్నది తీసుకుంటున్నట్లు
కొండపల్లి తహసీల్దారు తెలిపినట్లయితే-ఎంత ఖర్చు
పడుతుంది- ఎంత కంటే ఎక్కువ అవుతుందనే వి
షయం అయితే ఎంత వరకు కొంతమొత్తం అయితే
సంబంధించిన ఎక్కువ తక్కువ చేయవచ్చు.

తే 15. 3. 11 మీ విధేయులు

By order,
Ainkaran
for Secretary

C.Rev

Received
2/6/93
22-93

TWO R EES

18 6
Rec

14

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Inuznai Appeal No. 12 of 1918-19

Appellants Vs. Respondent

(1) Peer Gayab Sha Khadri,
2) Mahomed Bare Sha Khadri of Kesarmadu, Tumkur Taluk.

Syed Gou Mohadin Kha minor son of Azamath Peer Sha Khadri (deceased) through his guardian Syed Mohadin Bad-Sha Khadri,

Subject.

Right to participate in the distribution wheat during the Urus at Syed Moniu Bad Sha Khadri Darga in the village of Kesarmadu, Tumkur Taluk, during Safar or December of every year.

Decision

Jangpari 3-2-K-V.

Unless I hold any material is found upon which a satisfactory decision can be based. The Appellants Advocate was in this upon an order passed in the Appeal No 35 of 08-09 in file of the Munsiff Court and contended that the respondents in

GPB—1,000—8-8-11

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet/draft form.*)

Rakiraki shall be introduced into her family by her uncle & the descendants & her usual residence & that her different purposes not known in her usual life & not skilled labour but in actual performance & her duties. A privilege 2 her rank does only to those who are usually connected with her usual duties and not to those who do not carry into their duties the blood & that may be used there. As such it is necessary to find out her exact relation. Ship of the respondent with her usual vessel. Except a base document & the alleged the application that is necessary to be

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

the respondent
[illegible] is convicted by Board
under the repeal proposition.
The cases will go back to
the Dy Comr with a request that
he may reconsider
suspend the order passed by him
and will submit findings on
the following points.

(1) Whether the respondent
is convicted by Board
under the repeal Sanish.

(2) Whether he is a dependant
of Rahimdad Budhabhai Khari
as alleged.

(3) Whether he is convicted
by Board or not, he is
his ancestors have been
cultivating the Khoti
tenure and the manner
alleged so as to decide the
appellant's contention
that Sanad is lost.

The findings will be submitted
within 3 months.

14/2/20

14/2/20

2886 Exec 972 Vol 6 – 3 Sept – Immediate
Vol 11
Order 357-16-5
Copy to the Dy. Commrs., Murshidabad
Dy 12-3-24

Dy Sec., with the reference to his letter no 133 Dy 15-2-24

Sd/ O.

Secretary.
Mang. Deptt.

Order may be issued on the above note

2

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 17th June 1920

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 2886/Muz. 357-16-5 dated 12th March 1920 and the subsequent communication No. 4275/Muz. 357-16 dated 14/15th instant, calling for further information about the right to participate in the distribution of wheat during the Urus in the Kesarmadu Darga in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honour to state that enquiry has been made by me in this connection and evidence adduced on the side of appellants and respondents has been recorded. The case is posted for argument to the 19th instant.

2. Full report with the records as directed in the Government Order cited above will be submitted as soon as the enquiry is over at a very early date.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
for Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
Absent from the Station.

D.N.

1919-20.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Govt.
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 17th June 1920.

Despatched 17

Received

Ref on
General No. 4C 857
No. 19-20

Enclosure No

Re further information about the right to participate in the distribution of wheat during the Urus in the Yesarmadu Darga in the Tumkur Taluk will be furnished shortly.

F I N D I N G S

ON ISSUES REMANDED FOR ENQUIRY IN APPEAL No. 12 OF 1918-19 BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, MUZRAI DEPARTMENT, BANGALORE.

--:ooo:--

Appellant:-		Respondent:-
1. Veerabhayabsha Bhadri,	)	Syed Nounondin Madri, minor
	)	son of Azeemtheersha Bhadri,
2. Mahomed Narrsha Bhadri of Nesaramadu, Tumkur Taluk.	)	(deceased) through his guardian Syed Monadin Badsha Bhadri.
	)	

--:ooo:--

Present:-

Ananda Rao, B. Sirsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Dated, 19th June 1920.

Subject:-

Right to participate in the distribution of the offering at the Urus at Syed Shah Badsha Bhadri Dargah in the village of Nesaramadu, Tumkur Taluk, during Duffa month of December of every year.

In this case the Government in the Muzrai Department have referred the records to the Deputy Commissioner for thorough enquiry into the matter of the allegation of the appellant that the respondent is not lineally connected with the original saint and to submit findings on the following points (vide memo No. 2886/Muz. 357-16-5 dated 11/12th March 1920):-

1. Whether the respondent is connected by blood with the original saint?

2. Whether he is descendant of Mahammadan Dasaru (Fakir) as alleged?

3. Whether he is connected by blood or not, he is

and his ancestors have been taking part in the Urus ceremony in the manner alleged so as to estop the same at this stage?

Notices were issued to both parties to appear before the Deputy Commissioner on the 5th April 1920. On that date the 1st appellant and respondent Syed Mohadin Ladsha Madri were present. 2nd appellant though duly served in person was absent and treated ex parte. Parties were directed to produce their evidence if any on 15th May 1920. Mr. V. Hamid who appeared on behalf of the respondent and Mr. T. Krishna Iyengar appeared on behalf of the appellant.

The following witnesses were examined on behalf of the respondent:-

1. Moosa Mohamed Razvi,
2. Mahudin Sha Madari,
3. Syed Abdulrahman Shah Madri,
4. Syed Mohamed Ladsha Madri,
5. Syed Muthu Alisha Madri,
6. Syed Darvish Peersha Madri,
7. Abdul Kareem,
8. Mohamed Umar,
9. Haji Abdulkader Khan,
10. Latifsha Madari,
11. Syed Nasurdin Sha Madri.

The witnesses examined on behalf of the appellant were:-

1. Peer Gafarsha Madri (Appellant),
2. Mohamed Esoof,
3. Abdulla Saib,
4. Arjunan,
5. Haji Abdul Razak,
6. Sidealingiah,
7. Kasappa,
8. Abdul Rahim,

9. Syed Dastagiri Peersha Khadri,

10. Syed Mohadin Baasha Khadri was examined as a Court witness.

The following exhibits were produced by the respondent:-

Exhibit 1.

Statement showing the division of lands in the esarahadu village, Tumkur Taluk, taken before Colonel Obbs on 23rd May 1860.

Exhibit 2.
(Flag A in file)

Copy of the order passed on 16th September 1901 by the Second Assistant Commissioner and ~~the~~ 1st Class Magistrate, Tumkur District in Criminal Case Nos. 48 of 1900 and 1901.

Exhibit 3.

Genealogical statement produced by the respondent in evidence.

Exhibit 4.

Order passed by the Deputy Commissioner on the 20th December 1910.

Exhibit 5.

Copy of the Chief Court Order in Revision Case No. 76 of 1901 - 02.

Exhibit 6.

Copy of the petition presented by the appellant to the District Magistrate.

Exhibit 7.

Government Order dated 21st August 1884, No. 0264/166.

The exhibits produced by the appellant are:-

Exhibit a.

Decision passed by the Huzur Adalat Court, Mysore, in No. 38 (Special appeal) of 1861-62.

Exhibit b.

Geneological Table showing the descendants of Syed Imam Badsha Khadri.

Exhibit b/1.

Geneological Table showing the descendants of Chikka - Rahimansha.

The evidence adduced on each side was recorded day after day and the arguments were heard on the 11th June 1960.

F I N D I N G S

The present dispute is with regard to the right to participate in the distribution of meat in the Urus ceremony celebrated every year in honour of Syed Imam Badsha, the original saint at village Mesarahalli in the Tumkur District. The findings below on each of the points are based on the evidence of witnesses recorded in the course of the enquiry, the documents produced by either party and the revenue records in the file. The decision of the Huzur Adalat Court in appeal No. 38 of 1861-62 (Ex. A.) and the division of the village into 14 hissedars as settled before Colonel Goods in 1866 (Ex. 1.) are the oldest records. They show that even as early as that, the dispute in question had arisen between the ancestors of the present parties regarding their respective shares in the village. Ever since the question is said to have been a bone of contention. Parties have been on most bitter terms with each other and each claims its own followers and as such, much reliance cannot be placed on oral evidence unless corroborated by contemporaneous documentary evidence.

I The lineal descendants of the original saint are alive

divided into three groups of male descent and two of female descent. The appellants as well as the respondent belong to the third group$ ( ) in the three groups of male descent, ie., they are the descendants of the third son of the original Saint Syed Mamin Badsha. Both the appellant and the respondent have filed their versions of the family geneology (Ex. b & b/1. and Ex. II.)

The following table gives the necessary particulars connected with the case:-

Appellant's version.



Respondent's version.


3rd son of the Saint
Syed Mamin, Mrityuja Sha
6 sons out of them Syed Khadarsha the ancestor of the present appellant.
Ajamatulla
Md. Badsha.
Abdul Rahimahsha.
Gayasuddin.
The same as the other version - after that.

From a reference to the above it is seen that the contest is with respect to the position of Abdulrahiman Sha.

The appellant's allege that he is a stranger brought into the family by Nasa Bibi the wife of Ajamatulla Shah after her husband's death. They say that he is not lineally connected by blood with the original Saint. The respondent contends that Rahiman Sha was a nephew of Ajamatulla (the son of his brother Mahomed Sha) and that he brought him up as a foster son because he had no offspring as already stated. The witnesses on either side consistently bear out the version of their party. The decision of the Sadar Adalat Court in appeal No. 38 of 1861-62 (Ex. A.) upon which the appellant relies for establishing that Abdulrahiman Sha was a stranger to the family does not prove any such thing but merely shows that the dispute is one of very long standing. In fact the document is favourable to the respondent - the dispute was between the ancestors of the present parties the father of the present appellant being appellant in that case and the brothers of the great grandfather of the present respondent being the respondent in that case. The dispute is with regard to their respective shares in the village. In the original Court as well as in the two successive higher courts the ancestors of the respondents have been held entitled to a share in the village. The appellant Peerjayab Sab in the present case also admits that one share is enjoyed by the descendents of Abdulrahman Sha. Secondly, (Ex. 1) a document showing the division of the village lands among 14 hissedars as settled before Colonel Lobbs in 1800 has been produced by the respondent. In that document No. 11 - Akbar Sahib finds a place in the list of hissedars and is claimed by the respondent as his ancestor, i.e. his great grand father's brother. This has not been disproved by the appellant. Thirdly, one "Salim" Sab has affixed his signature to (Ex. 1) - the above document. The respondent contends that he is another of the brothers of his great grandfather and that he was the manager of the Durga for sometime. The appellant says that he does not know the signature but admits the fact of Salim's managership. This is also borne out by the previous records in the file. On the recommendation of Mr. Mahamed Ali the

the then Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, the Government in their Order N 3224/126 dated 21st August 1884 have approved the appointment of Syed Halim Badsha, son of Abdulrahiman Sha and another Halim Ladsha, son of Krityuja Sha as co-managers of the Darga. From the above circumstances coupled with the oral evidence on behalf of the respondent there is a strong probability that the respondent is lineally connected with the original saint through Abdul Rahiman Sha. This is my FINDING on the first issue.

II. From what has been stated above, it will be seen that the parties are not in issue on this point. Both admit that the respondent is the descendant of Abdulrahiman Sha.

III. My FINDING on the first issue amounts of course to say that the respondent is entitled to take part in the Urus as one lineally connected by blood with the original propositor. In the face of the first FINDING no finding is needed on the third. Apart from it there is ample evidence to prove that the respondent and his ancestors have been taking part in the Urus in previous years so as to preclude the appellants from now contesting their right at this stage. It is clear from the records that the Urus is not being celebrated regularly in the village for the past 25 or 30 years. During this period whenever the Urus has taken place on two or three occasions, the respondent has been held entitled by the authorities to take "Sandal" and generally to take part in the Urus. (Ex. 2) copy of the order passed by the 2nd Assistant Commissioner and First Class Magistrate Mr. M. Subramanya Iyer in case No. 48 of 1900-01 has been produced by the respondent. In that case the respondent has been held entitled to take "Sandal" along with the appellant, a privilege admittedly attaching to the male decendents - Secondly Syed Mahmad Sha, son of Abdulrahman Sha was one of the two co-managers of the Darga under the Government Order of 21st August 1884 (Ex. 7) as has already been stated. It is extremely improbable that a man who was not

not connected with the male naty (descent) and concerned in the Urus and other important affairs of the Darga would have been recommended by the Deputy Commissioner Mr. Mahomed Ali to fill up that important post of Manager-ship of the Darga. Some of the other descendants of Abdul Rahim Sha have sworn that they have been taking part in the Urus (Vide depositions of Rw.2, Rw.3, and Rw.4); this has not been denied by the appellant. Rw.2, Rw.3, and Rw.4 are as much the descendants of Abdulrahman Sha as the respondent or his father. For the above reasons my FINDING on this issue is that the respondent and his ancestors have been taking part in the Urus Ceremony and that the appellants are estopped from contesting their right at this stage. The fact that the appellant has not filed any civil suit to establish his contention when his previous claims were disallowed on several occasions is a clear proof that his contention is baseless and untenable.

20th July 1920

D.

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

SECTION D 21

FILE No. 35)-16

SERIAL No.

1920-21.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt. of M. & the Chief Secy. of Govt. Central Offices, Bangalore.

Dated 7th July 1920.

Despatched

Received

General No. Ag PS 6/19-20

No.

Enclosure No. Eight Sls. as stated in margin

Submitting the returns in the matter of distribution of [illegible] in the Gubbi Taluk for the year [illegible] in the villages of Tiptur, Turuvekere, Tumkur etc.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District,

Dated, Tumkur, the 28th July 1920

From

[illegible],

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

[illegible],

[illegible],

[illegible],

[illegible].

I have to submit herewith [illegible] dated 21st [illegible] regarding the distribution of funds (Re [illegible] of 1919-1) and to request distribution of [illegible] for the Tiptur Taluk (Tumkur District) [illegible] funds on account of the [illegible] Project.

[illegible] receive [illegible] be under [illegible] Tumkur District [illegible].

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Inamai Appeal No. 2 of 1921-22.

Appellant. *Vs.* Respondent

(1) Peer Gayali Sha Khadri,
(2) Mahamad Bari Sha Khadri of Kesarmadu, Subject.
Tumkur Taluk.

Syed Gias Mohaiden minor Khadri, Son of Azmath Peer Sha Khadri (Deceased) through his Guardian Syed Mohadin Bad Sha Khadri

Right to participate in the distribution of wheat during the Urus at Syed Mohiden Bad Sha Khadri Dargah in the village of Kesarmadu, Tumkur Taluk, during Sept. or December of every year.

Decision

Mr Ramachandra for the appellant
Mr Venkata Rao for the respondent.

The finding given by Enam upon the issues framed & remitted thereupon are correct. He has given reasons for holding that the respondent is connected by blood with the original Saint and as such he is entitled to take part in the Urus and participate in the Wheat-Sandal. The appeal is dismissed

GRB—1000—8-8-12

Mear 357-16-8 Dt 4.10

Copy to the Dy. Com^r, Jamkhin
Dist^t, with the remarks received with
his letter no 8178 Dt 28.7.1920.

B.D.
Asst
for Dist^t Mag. Secretary.

Bk
2019/20